

For Immediate Release: April 7, 2021

Media Contact: Joshua Alexander 415-972-3258, [HYPERLINK "mailto:alexander.joshua@epa.gov"]

U.S. EPA Program Aimed at Runoff, or "Nonpoint Source," Pollution Funds California Watershed Restoration Efforts

California Water Boards leverage EPA support to protect water quality in Tomales Bay, Marin County, used for recreation and oyster production

SAN FRANCISCO — California Water-Boards The California State Water Resources Control Board (the State Board) will use \$4.4 million of a U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) grant to fund projects in seven counties around the state. EPA's annual Clean Water Act (CWA)-Nonpoint Source Program grant assists the Water-Boards in implementing programs to address pollution caused by runoff moving over the ground, known as nonpoint source (NPS)-pollution.

The Marin Resource Conservation District was awarded over \$700,000 by <u>Kalifornia Water Boards he</u>
<u>State Board</u> for their *Conserving Our Watersheds* (COW) Program. This project helps ranchers within the
Point Reyes National Seashore prevent nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment, and bacteria from livestock
operations from running off into Tomales Bay. Tomales Bay supports oyster production and recreational
activities including kayaking and fishing.

"EPA is proud to promote the COW Program, which supports water quality improvements within Marin County's watershed, reducing runoff of sediment, nutrients, and bacteria from ranching into Tomales Bay," said EPA Pacific Southwest Director for the Office of Water Tomás Torres.

"Federal investment in nonpoint source solutions means the Water Board can support the Tomales Bay project and similar projects that are making water safer for our communities," said Joaquin Esquivel, Chair, California State Water Resources Control Board. "When federal, state, and local leadership are aligned, opportunities to protect California's most vulnerable watersheds expand exponentially."

Six other <u>MPS-nonpoint source</u> projects <u>selected by the State Board</u> are also being supported from <u>through</u> this EPA funding. Two projects address post-wildfire conditions:

- 13the Sonoma County Resource Conservation District was awarded \$342,000 for Post-Fire Recovery and Sediment Reduction in Mark West Creek in Sonoma County
- Eithe Earth Island Institute and South Coast Habitat Restoration were awarded \$799,000 for Carpinteria Creek Sediment Reduction and Habitat Enhancement Project in Santa Barbara County.

Commenced (ELT): Insurance we are planalizing "Bounds" because we mean mane than one Regional Board is funding these projects" If that is incorrect, and they are being smided by the statewide level organization, I mink they should be referred to as the "State Wales Resources Control Spand," See I MYPRIBIK.

"Tethnoffwork weterhoerds on gov/shout _us/setter_boards _structure/"] - there is one State Weter Repaired Control Boards and name semi-suboardinate Regional Boards. Based on us using a qualita from hadgoin Esquival. I as summ will granted the funds at the State Board lave!

Commented (\$1.2) Again, I magbin we mean that the individual local Water Board (singular) awarded these funds and perhaps we should have that Board estrigist, in this case, it's the San Francisco England Water Quality-Control Board. — \$507 — I over how the quote that these funds must have come from the State Board.

Communities [83.3]: Havined Irom Slepandro in a different press release review that AP Style beyond hat forms in a bullated flor should each be capitalized and end in a period. This is the apposite of the way I as a bulleted lists (I prefer your style here), but I bow to the AP for this purposal.

Four additional projects address riparian habitat restoration:

- California Trout_τ Inc_x was awarded \$674,000 for Hart Ranch Stock Watering and Riparian Fence
 Project to support riparian restoration in the Little Shasta River in Siskiyou County_d
- §ine Eel River Recovery Project was awarded \$474,000 for *Tenmile Creek Streambank Erosion Prevention and Riparian Restoration Project* in Mendocino County.
- #The Truckee River Watershed Council was awarded \$590,000 for Phase 1 of the Euer Valley
 Restoration Project in Nevada County, and a second county.
- The Napa County Department of Public Works was awarded \$800,000 for Phase 2 of the Napa River Restoration: Oakville to Oak Knoll, Group D, in Napa County.

Since 2004, the <u>Water Boards' State Board's</u> Nonpoint Source Program has awarded over \$65 million of EPA grant funds for local projects that reduce runoff pollution into California's waters. The projects make waters safer for people and wildlife by preventing sediment erosion from rural roads and wildfire-impacted areas, controlling pollution from grazing and livestock operations, supporting farmers to plant cover crops to improve soil health, and restoring stream habitat affected by legacy timber activities.

In December, EPA approved California's 2020-2025 Nonpoint Source Program Implementation Plan, which made the <u>State Board</u> eligible for EPA grant funds to support their programs. In 2021, California <u>Regional</u> Water <u>Quality Control</u> Boards are eligible to apply to the <u>State Board to access fee-\$9</u> million in federal funds to address priority nonpoint sources of pollution, conduct inspections, and work with stakeholders to find effective solutions for water quality problems.

For more information on California's Nonpoint Source (NPS) Pollution Control Program visit: [HYPERLINK "https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/nps/"]

For more EPA information on nonpoint source pollution visit: [HYPERLINK "https://www.epa.gov/nps/basic-information-about-nonpoint-source-nps-pollution"]

Learn more about EPA's [HYPERLINK "https://www.epa.gov/pacific-southwest-media-center"]. Connect with us on [HYPERLINK "https://www.facebook.com/EPAregion9"] and on [HYPERLINK "https://twitter.com/EPAregion9"].

###

Commented [864]: We abbreviated INPS) in paragraph 1 and used it once in paragraph 2, and then spelled it out fully in these last 2 paragraphs. I suggest we don't need to abbreviate it in the earlier paragraph, if we really are only using the according once I took it ext out top.

Commented (\$1.5): On Jean, so the Regional Sciards didscrip individually after all? I wonder ill Waster Devian meeds to leave snother leak at this doc to confirm we are consistently addressing the State Board or Regional Boards' mystivement correctly.